

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
(B) [] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 14 December 2010**

Case Number: T 1837/10 - 3.4.03

Application Number: 04076916.8

Publication Number: 1494185

IPC: G07F 17/32

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Method and system for conducting a game of chance

Applicant:

Stichting Exploitatie Nederlandse Staatsloterij

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

Keyword:

"Inadmissible appeal - missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Case Number: T 1837/10 - 3.4.03

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.03
of 14 December 2010

Appellant: Stichting Exploitatie Nederlandse Staatsloterij
Paleisstraat 5
NL-2514 JA Den Haag (NL)

Representative: Jilderda, Anne Ayolt
Octrooibureau LIOC B.V.
Postbus 13363
NL-3507 LJ Utrecht (NL)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 19 March 2010
refusing European patent application
No. 04076916.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: G. Eliasson
Members: V. L. P. Frank
P. Mühlens

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. This is an appeal against the refusal of European patent application No. 04076916 posted 19 March 2010.

A notice of appeal on behalf of the applicant appellant was filed on 10 May 2010. The appeal fee was paid on the same day. No separate statement of grounds of appeal was filed.

II. By a communication dated 10 September 2010 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the appellant was informed that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that, therefore, it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been given to the communication within the time limit. No request for re-establishment of rights was filed.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal according to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

S. Sánchez Chiquero

G. Eliasson