BESCHWERDEKAMMERN DES EUROPÄISCHEN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF THE EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:
(A) [ ] Publication in OJ
(B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [ ] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 24 June 2010

Case Number: T 0590/10 - 3.5.02

Application Number: 06111864.2

Publication Number: 1843475

IPC: H03M 13/41

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Exploiting known padding data to improve block decode success rate

Applicant:
Research In Motion Limited

Opponent:
-

Headword:
-

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):
-

Keyword:
"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:
-

Catchword:
-

b

Europäisches Patentamt | European Patent Office | Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern | Boards of Appeal | Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0590/10 - 3.5.02

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.02
of 24 June 2010

Appellant: Research In Motion Limited
295 Phillip Street
Waterloo
Ontario N2L 3W8 (CA)

Representative: Patel, Binesh
Barker Brettell LLP
10-12 Priests Bridge
London SW15 5JE (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted 5 October 2009 refusing European application No. 06111864.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: M. Ruggiu
Members: G. Flyng
H. Preglau

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division dated 5 October 2009 refusing European patent application No. 06 111 864.2.

II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal received on 15 December 2009 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

III. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC.

IV. In a communication dated 26 March 2010 sent by registered post with advice of delivery, the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.

V. No observations were filed within two months of the above communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement of grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal according to Article 108 and Rule 99(2) EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC)

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar: The Chairman:

U. Bultmann M. Ruggiu