

Internal distribution code:

- (A) Publication in OJ
(B) To Chairmen and Members
(C) To Chairmen
(D) No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 16 March 2009**

Case Number: T 2182/08 - 3.5.03

Application Number: 05254998.7

Publication Number: 1653719

IPC: H04M 3/56

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Method and apparatus for launching a conference based on presence of invitees

Applicant:

Avaya Technology Corp.

Opponent:

-

Headword:

Launching a conference based on presence of invitees/AVAYA

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Case Number: T 2182/08 - 3.5.03

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03
of 16 March 2009

Appellant: Avaya Technology Corp.
211 Mount Airy Road
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 (US)

Representative: Williams, David John
Page White & Farrer
Bedford House
John Street
London WC1N 2BF (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 13 May 2008
refusing European application No. 05254998.7
pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. S. Clelland
Members: A. J. Madenach
M.-B. Tardo-Dino

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal lies from the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office refusing the European patent application No. 05254998.7. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on 13 May 2008.
- II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 17 July 2008. The payment of the appeal fee was recorded on the same day. No request for oral proceedings was made.

No statement of grounds was filed.

- III. By a communication dated 26 November 2008, sent by registered post with advice of delivery, the registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- IV. No answer has been given to the registry's communication within the time limit.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed within the time limit provided by Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC and the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of

grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

D. Magliano

A. S. Clelland