

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A)  Publication in OJ  
(B)  To Chairmen and Members  
(C)  To Chairmen  
(D)  No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 19 December 2008**

**Case Number:** T 1665/08 - 3.5.01

**Application Number:** 04764460.4

**Publication Number:** 1665094

**IPC:** G06F 17/30

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

Mapping data from a data warehouse to a data mart

**Applicant:**

SAP AG

**Opponent:**

-

**Headword:**

-

**Relevant legal provisions:**

EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 101(1)

**Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):**

-

**Keyword:**

"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

**Decisions cited:**

-

**Catchword:**

-

**Case Number:** T 1665/08 - 3.5.01

**DECISION  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01  
of 19 December 2008**

**Appellant:** SAP AG  
Dietmar-Hopp-Allee 16  
69190 Walldorf (DE)

**Representative:** Richardt, Markus Albert  
Richardt Patents & Trademarks  
Leergasse 11  
65343 Eltville am Rhein (DE)

**Decision under appeal:** Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted 12 March 2008  
refusing European application  
No. 04764460.4 pursuant to Article 97(1)  
EPC 1973.

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** S. Steinbrener  
**Members:** K. Bumes  
A. Pignatelli

**Summary of Facts and Submissions**

I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 12 March 2008 refusing European patent application No. 04764460.4.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 17 March 2008 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

In a letter dated 1 July 2008, the appellant declared that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal would be filed.

II. In a communication dated 8 October 2008, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.

III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

**Reasons for the Decision**

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

T. Buschek

S. Steinbrener