

Internal distribution code:

- (A) Publication in OJ
(B) To Chairmen and Members
(C) To Chairmen
(D) No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 13 March 2008**

Case Number: T 1601/07 - 3.4.02

Application Number: 97306297.9

Publication Number: 0826988

IPC: G02B6/12

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Optical planar waveguide notch filters

Applicant:
Lucent Technologies Inc.

Opponent:
-

Headword:
Missing "Statement of grounds"

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):
-

Keyword:
-

Decisions cited:
-

Catchword:
-



Case Number: T 1601/07 - 3.4.02

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.02
of 13 March 2008

Appellant: Lucent Technologies Inc.
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill
New Jersey 07974-0636 (US)

Representative: Williams, David John
Page White & Farrer
Bedford House
John Street
London WC1N 2BF (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 4 April 2007
refusing European application No. 97306297.9
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. Klein
Members: M. Rayner
M. J. Vogel

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 4 April 2007 refusing European patent application No. 97306297.9.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 12 June 2007 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

- II. In a communication dated 27 September 2007, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

M. Kiehl

A. Klein