

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
(B) [] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 11 April 2008**

Case Number: T 1443/07 - 3.2.01

Application Number: 98904615.6

Publication Number: 0956219

IPC: B60R 16/02

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Multimedia information and control system for automobiles

Patentee:

American Calcar Inc.

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

-

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Case Number: T 1443/07 - 3.2.01

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.01
of 11 April 2008

Appellant:

American Calcar Inc.
3511 Silverside Road
Suite 105
Wilmington
Delaware 19810 (US)

Representative:

Barth, Stephan Manuel
Reinhard-Skuhra-Weise & Partner GbR
Patentanwälte
Friedrichstrasse 31
D-80801 München (DE)

Decision under appeal:

Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 15 February 2007
granting European patent No. 0956219 pursuant
to Article 97(2) EPC 1973.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: S. Crane
Members: J. Osborne
G. Weiss

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office, posted 15 February 2007, granting a European patent pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC 1973.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 13 April 2007 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

- II. By a communication dated 11 September 2007, sent by registered post, the Board informed the appellant that it appeared that no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- III. No observations were received in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed. Furthermore, the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC 1973. The appeal therefore has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 65(1) EPC 1973).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

A. Vottner

S. Crane