PATENTAMTS

# OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

## Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 3 August 2007

T 0117/07 - 3.5.03 Case Number:

Application Number: 03723663.5

Publication Number: 1483884

H04M 3/533 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

#### Title of invention:

System and method for mobile electronic messaging

#### Applicant:

Yahoo Inc.

#### Opponent:

### Headword:

# Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 65(1)

#### Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

## Decisions cited:

## Catchword:



#### Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0117/07 - 3.5.03

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03
of 3 August 2007

Appellant: Yahoo Inc.

701 First Avenue

Sunnyvale

CA 94089 (US)

Representative: Palmer, Jonathan R.

Boult Wade Tennant Verulam Gardens 70 Gray's Inn Road London WC1X 8BT (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 6 June 2006 refusing European application No. 03723663.5

pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. S. Clelland Members: A. J. Madenach

M.-B. Tardo-Dino

- 1 - T 0117/07

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal lies from the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office refusing European patent application No. 03723663.5. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on 6 June 2006.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 8 August 2006. The payment of the appeal fee was recorded on the same day.

No separate statement of grounds was filed.

- II. By a communication dated 16 February 2007 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months and attention was drawn to the possibility of filing a request for re-establishment of rights under Article 122 EPC.
- III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication within the time limit.

## Reasons of the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as statement of grounds pursuant to - 2 - T 0117/07

Article 108 EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

## Order

# For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

D. Magliano

A. S. Clelland