

Internal distribution code:

- (A) Publication in OJ
(B) To Chairmen and Members
(C) To Chairmen
(D) No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 31 July 2007**

Case Number: T 0080/07 - 3.5.01

Application Number: 99935767.6

Publication Number: 1097420

IPC: G06F 11/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Information security analysis system

Applicant:
Computer Associates Think, Inc.

Opponent:
-

Headword:
-

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 65(1)

Keyword:
"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

Decisions cited:
-

Catchword:
-



Case Number: T 0080/07 - 3.5.01

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01
of 31 July 2007

Appellant: Computer Associates Think, Inc.
One Computer Associates Plaza
Islandia, New York 11749 (US)

Representative: Dunlop, Hugh Christopher
R G C Jenkins & Co.
26 Caxton Street
London SW1H 0RJ (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 3 August 2006
refusing European application No. 99935767.6
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: S. Steinbrener
Members: W. Chandler
P. Schmitz

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 3 August 2006 refusing European patent application No. 99935767.6.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 13 October 2006 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

The notice of appeal contains an auxiliary request for oral proceedings.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

- II. In a communication dated 13 February 2007, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

In a letter dated 9 May 2007 the appellant withdrew the request for oral proceedings.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 65(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

T. Buschek

S. Steinbrener