

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A)  Publication in OJ  
(B)  To Chairmen and Members  
(C)  To Chairmen  
(D)  No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 18 July 2007**

**Case Number:** T 1787/06 - 3.5.01

**Application Number:** 03716688.1

**Publication Number:** 1485829

**IPC:** G 06F 17/30, G 06F 9/44

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

A processing system for use with a user interface display

**Applicant:**

Siemens Medical Solutions Health Services Corporation

**Opponent:**

-

**Headword:**

-

**Relevant legal provisions:**

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 65(1)

**Keyword:**

"Missing grounds of appeal"

**Decisions cited:**

-

**Catchword:**

-



Case Number: T 1787/06 - 3.5.01

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01  
of 18 July 2007

**Appellant:** Siemens Medical Solutions Health Services  
Corporation  
51, Valley Stream Parkway  
Malvern, PA 19355 (US)

**Representative:** McGowan, Nigel George  
Siemens Shared Services,  
c/o Siemens AG,  
Postfach 22 16 34  
D-80506 München (DE)

**Decision under appeal:** Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted 14 June 2006  
refusing European application No. 03716688.1  
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** S. Steinbrener  
**Members:** R. R. K. Zimmermann  
A. Pignatelli

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 14 June 2006 refusing European patent application No. 03716688.1.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 3 August 2006 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. The notice of appeal contains an auxiliary request for oral proceedings.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such statement.

- II. In a communication dated 12 January 2007, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

In a letter dated 22 June 2007 the appellant withdrew the request for oral proceedings.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 65(1) EPC.

## **Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

T. Buschek

S. Steinbrener